

# Calgary Assessment Review Board DECISION WITH REASONS

In the matter of the complaint against the property assessment as provided by the *Municipal Government Act*, Chapter M-26, Section 460, Revised Statutes of Alberta 2000 (the Act).

#### between:

Mountain Development Corp. (as represented by Altus Group Ltd.) COMPLAINANT

and

The City Of Calgary, RESPONDENT

#### before:

Board Chair; J. Zezulka Board Member; M. Grace Board Member; K. Bickford

This is a complaint to the Calgary Assessment Review Board in respect of a property assessment prepared by the Assessor of The City of Calgary and entered in the 2013 Assessment Roll as follows:

**ROLL NUMBER: 081295206** 

**LOCATION ADDRESS: 2215 - 33 Avenue SW** 

FILE NUMBER: 72468

**ASSESSMENT:** \$5,060,000

This complaint was heard on 23 day of October, 2013 at the office of the Assessment Review Board located at Floor Number 3, 1212 – 31 Avenue NE, Calgary, Alberta, Boardroom 9.

Appeared on behalf of the Complainant:

B. Neeson

Appeared on behalf of the Respondent:

R. Urban

# **Board's Decision in Respect of Procedural or Jurisdictional Matters:**

(1) There were no procedural or jurisdictional matters raised by either party.

# **Property Description:**

(2) The property consists of a 14,653 s.f. retail shopping centre, known as Marda Loop Station, located in SW Calgary. The quality rating applied by the City is class "A2". The property consists of two buildings, both constructed in 1999. The total building area is 14,653 s.f.. The land area is 0.67 acres.

# Issues / Appeal Objectives

(3) The subject is currently being assessed using the income approach. The Complainant does not dispute the valuation method. The Complainant agrees with all of the inputs utilized by the Respondent in the capitalization calculations except for the rent in the CRU 1,000 to 2,501 s.f. category. The Respondent has utilized a rental rate of \$29.00 per s.f.. The Complainant argues that a rate of \$27.00 per s.f. is more appropriate.

### Complainant's Requested Value:

(4) \$4,920,000

#### **Board's Decision:**

(5) The assessment is reduced to \$4,920,000.

# Legislative Authority, Requirements and Considerations:

- (6) This Board derives its authority from section 460.1(2) of the Municipal Government Act, being Chapter M-26 of the revised statutes of Alberta.
- (7) Section 2 of Alberta Regulation 220/2004, being the Matters Relating to Assessment and

# Taxation Regulation (MRAT), states as follows;

- "An assessment of property based on market value
  - (a) must be prepared using mass appraisal
  - (b) must be an estimate of the value of the fee simple estate in the property, and
  - (c) must reflect typical market conditions for properties similar to that property"
- (8) Section 467(3) of the Municipal Government Act states;
- "An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration (c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality."
- (9) For purposes of this Complaint, there are no extraneous requirements or factors that require consideration.

# Position/Evidence of the Parties

- (10) The Board notes that the assessment has increased from \$4,710,000 in 2012, to \$5,060,000 in 2013, an increase of 15.0 per cent.
- (11) In support of the request, the Complainant submitted a south west rental rate analysis containing 18 lease comparables, including two leases from the subject (C1, page 25). The two leases from the subject are at \$28.00 and \$35.00 per s.f. Both leases had an early 2010 start date. The mean and median rent rate reflected by the overall sampling is \$27.67 and \$26.50 per s.f. The median of the 2011 and 2012 leases is \$26.00.
- (12) The Respondent submitted a 2013 Retail Unit Leases Summary containing 21 leases (R1, page 15). Of the 21 leases, 16 are common to the Complainant. All of the properties are in SW Calgary. All of the leases are dated 2010 or later. Unit sizes are within the 1,001 to 2,500 s.f. category. The mean of all the leases is \$28.90, and the median is \$28.00. The median of the more recent leases, from 2011 and 2012, is \$27.50. The City's assessed rate is \$29.00 per s.f.
- (13) In response to questions, the Respondent states that the reason the assessed rate is approximately \$1.00 higher than the amount indicated by the data is because of a soft ware computer program.
- (14) Within the City's lease summary, there are two leases on Macleod Trail, and three in Willow Park. Macleod Trail is one of the foremost commercial arteries in South Calgary. By reason of the location, these properties are not considered comparable to the subject. Similarly, the Willow Park leases are located in a highly regarded boutique type shopping centre, and are not considered comparable to the subject. On the other hand, the City's sample excluded one lease at 12 Richard Way, at \$26.00 per s.f. By excluding the Willow Park and Macleod Trail leases, and including the Richard Way lease, the median rent is \$26.50 per s.f.

#### **Board's Reasons for Decision:**

(15) This Board will not accept a value conclusion based on a computer software program about which very little is known. From a layman's point of view, all computer programs produce a result that is contingent on the inputs fed into the program by the operator or analyst. The Respondent did not produce any of the inputs used to generate the results.

- (16) In addition, the City's conclusion regarding the rent in the size category in question is contradictory to their own data. Based strictly on the Respondent's rent data without any further analysis, a conclusion of \$28.00 per s.f. would have been more supportable.
- (17) The median reflected by the more recent leases in the Complainant's submission is \$26.00 per s.f. The median of the more recent leases in the Respondent's submission is \$27.50. After adjustments to the Respondent's lease analysis, the median rent is \$26.50. This Board accepts \$27.00 per s.f. as being the appropriate rent based on the evidence submitted.
- (18) The amended assessment calculates to \$4,920,474, truncated to \$4,920,000...

DATED AT THE CITY OF CALGARY THIS 29th DAY OF \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ November\_\_\_\_\_ 2013

Jerry Zezulka
Presiding Officer

# **APPENDIX "A"**

# DOCUMENTS PRESENTED AT THE HEARING AND CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD:

NO. ITEM

- 1. C1Complainant Disclosure
- 2. R1 Respondent Disclosure

An appeal may be made to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction with respect to a decision of an assessment review board.

Any of the following may appeal the decision of an assessment review board:

- (a) the complainant;
- (b) an assessed person, other than the complainant, who is affected by the decision;
- (c) the municipality, if the decision being appealed relates to property that is within the boundaries of that municipality;
- (d) the assessor for a municipality referred to in clause (c).

An application for leave to appeal must be filed with the Court of Queen's Bench within 30 days after the persons notified of the hearing receive the decision, and notice of the application for

leave to appeal must be given to

- (a) the assessment review board, and
- (b) any other persons as the judge directs.

# For MGB Administrative Use Only

Decision No.	CARB 72468P/2013		Roll No. 081295206	
<u>Subject</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Issue</u>	<u>Detail</u>	Issue
CARB	retail	Market Value	Income Approach	Rental Rate